STIPULATION AND ORDER
TO STAY PROCEEDINGS PENDING JPML DECISION

Document 8

Filed 10/27/22

Page 1 of 4 PageID: 74

Case 1:23-cv-00789-CPO-EAP

Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Plaintiff Tammy Gutierrez ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Samsung Electronics America, Inc. ("Samsung") stipulate and agree as follows:

WHEREAS, on October 4, 2022, Plaintiff filed her Class Action Complaint (the "Complaint") in the above-entitled action.

WHEREAS, on October 11, 2022, Plaintiff served the original summons and Complaint on Samsung Electronics America, Inc. ("Samsung").

WHEREAS, on October 7, 2022, Plaintiffs in a related action currently pending in this District, styled Seirafi, et al. v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc., No. 3:22-cv-5176, filed a Motion for Transfer of Actions to the Northern District of California Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 for Coordinated or Consolidated Pretrial Proceedings before the United States Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation (the "MDL Petition"), specifically listing this action for inclusion in any centralization order issued by the Panel. In re: Samsung Customer Data Security Breach Litigation, JPML Case No. 3055, ECF No. 1. Accordingly, the Panel's decision on the MDL Petition may directly impact this case and whether it proceeds in this Court.

WHEREAS, in light of the possibility that this action might not proceed in this Court, the parties agree that a stay of these proceedings during the pendency of the MDL Petition would save judicial and party resources.

WHEREAS, courts routinely stay proceedings pending a determination of a motion to transfer and consolidate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See, e.g., Jones v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., 2013 WL 3388659, at *2 (N.D. Cal. July 8, 2013) (consistent with majority of courts, "courts in this district ... have granted motions to stay in order to preserve judicial resources" pending MDL resolution).

23 ///

24 ///

///

25

26

27

28

3:22-cv-05719-SK

Document 8

Filed 10/27/22

Page 4 of 4 PageID: 77

Case 1:23-cv-00789-CPO-EAP

Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP